1 2 3 4 5 6	HANSON BRIDGETT LLP LINDA E. KLAMM, SBN 71506 lklamm@hansonbridgett.com MILES C. HOLDEN, SBN 263342 mholden@hansonbridgett.com CAITLIN N. RABIYAN, SBN 333841 crabiyan@hansonbridgett.com 425 Market Street, 26th Floor San Francisco, California 94105 Telephone: (415) 777-3200 Facsimile: (415) 541-9366					
7 8	Attorneys for Plaintiff BAY BRIDGE CAPITAL PARTNERS, LLC					
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT					
10	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA					
11	SACRAMENTO DIVISION					
12						
13	BAY BRIDGE CAPITAL PARTNERS,	Case No.				
14	LLC,	BAY BRIDGE CAPITAL				
15	Plaintiff,	PARTNERS, LLC'S COMPLAINT AGAINST NATIONAL FIRE & MARINE INSURANCE COMPANY				
16	V.	FOR: (1) DECLARATORY RELIEF –				
17	NATIONAL FIRE & MARINE INSURANCE COMPANY,	NOTICE WAS TIMELY; (2) DECLARATORY RELIEF –				
18	,	DUTY TO PAY FOR COVERED LOSS;				
19	Defendant.	(3) BREACH OF CONTRACT; AND (4) BREACH OF THE IMPLIED				
20		COVENANT OF GOOD FAITH AND FAIR DEALING				
21						
22		DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL				
23						
24						
25						
26						
27						
28						
- 1	1					

BAY BRIDGE CAPITAL PARTNERS, LLC'S COMPLAINT

1

2

# **COMPLAINT**

3 4

Plaintiff BAY BRIDGE CAPITAL PARTNERS, LLC ("BAY 1. BRIDGE") hereby complains against Defendant NATIONAL FIRE & MARINE INSURANCE COMPANY ("NATIONAL FIRE"), and alleges as follows:

#### THE PARTIES

7

8

10

12

14

21

25

5 6

9

11

13

15

16 17

18 19

20

22 23

24

26

27

28

BAY BRIDGE is and was at all relevant times a limited liability 2. company duly organized under the laws of Delaware with its principal place of business in Utah. It is, and at all relevant times was, authorized to transact business in California. BAY BRIDGE has one member, Providence Group, Inc., which is and was at all relevant times a corporation incorporated under the laws of California with its principal place of business in Utah.

BAY BRIDGE alleges on information and belief that NATIONAL 3. FIRE, a Berkshire Hathaway insurance company, is and was at all relevant times an insurer domiciled in Nebraska with its principal place of business in Nebraska, and that NATIONAL FIRE is and was at all relevant times authorized to transact business in California as a surplus lines insurer.

### **JURISDICTION**

- 4. This Court has jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1332 because this is a civil action between citizens of different states and the amount in controversy exceeds \$75,000, exclusive of interest and costs.
- 5. The amount in controversy includes, but is not limited to, sums that BAY BRIDGE contends NATIONAL FIRE owes in connection with covered loss in connection with the settlement of an underlying lawsuit.

#### **VENUE**

Venue is proper in this District under 28 U.S.C. § 1391 because a 6. substantial part of the acts and/or omissions giving right to the claims occurred in this District in El Dorado County, including but not limited to the insured facility at issue being located in this District in El Dorado County and the alleged loss

1 occurring in this District in El Dorado County.

#### **GENERAL ALLEGATIONS**

#### I. Nature Of The Claim and Relief Sought

- 7. This Complaint concerns insurance-coverage issues between BAY BRIDGE and NATIONAL FIRE arising out of loss related to a lawsuit entitled *Crandell v. Western Slope Health Center, et al.*, Case No. 20190520, filed in the Superior Court for the State of California, County of El Dorado (the "Lawsuit").
- 8. The facility where the alleged injuries giving rise to the Lawsuit occurred was a subsidiary company of BAY BRIDGE. As a parent company of the facility, BAY BRIDGE was named as one of several defendants in the Lawsuit.
- 9. BAY BRIDGE faced significant liability exposure from the Lawsuit, resulting in claims made on its insurance policies for indemnification of those sums BAY BRIDGE became legally obligated to pay.
- 10. BAY BRIDGE tendered to NATIONAL FIRE notice of the Lawsuit and a claim for all available insurance coverage under the policy (the "Claim") on August 31, 2023.
- 11. Thereafter, in connection with its claims for insurance coverage, BAY BRIDGE provided NATIONAL FIRE with additional information necessary to evaluate the Claim.
- 12. NATIONAL FIRE has failed to comply with its obligations to BAY BRIDGE in connection with BAY BRIDGE's tender and Claim.
- 13. BAY BRIDGE now seeks declarations of rights, obligations, duties, and liability under the insurance policy issued by NATIONAL FIRE.
- 14. BAY BRIDGE also seeks damages, fees, and costs against
  NATIONAL FIRE for breaching the policy and the implied covenant of good faith
  and fair dealing by unreasonably failing to comply with its obligations to BAY
  BRIDGE in connection with, among other things, NATIONAL FIRE's improper
  claims-handling practices, including its failure to pay in connection with the Claim,

which are contrary to established insurance law, so as to deny BAY BRIDGE the 1 coverage to which BAY BRIDGE is entitled. 2 **The Insurance Policy** 3 II. As relevant to this Complaint, NATIONAL FIRE issued a "Senior Care 4 15. 5 Follow Form Excess Liability" policy providing, among other things, excess coverage for sums the insured becomes legally obligated to pay because of injury or 6 7 damage, in excess of the underlying policy's limits, and bearing policy number 42-8 XSC-303187-05, effective August 4, 2020 to August 4, 2021 (the "Policy"). 9 BAY BRIDGE is the first named insured under the Policy. 16. 10 17. The Policy includes, among other things, an insuring agreement in its "Follow Form Excess Liability Policy" form that provides in part: 11 12 **SECTION I – COVERAGES** 13 We will pay on behalf of the "insured" those sums in excess of the "retained limit" that the "insured" becomes 14 legally obligated to pay as damages because of injury or 15 damage to which this insurance applies. 16 Except as otherwise provided herein or endorsed to this 17 policy, the insurance in this policy will follow the 18 coverages, terms, representations, warranties, definitions, exclusions, conditions and limitations of the "followed 19 policy" as of inception of this policy. 20 21 18. The Policy also contains a condition requiring the insured to provide 22 notice of a claim or potential claim, providing in part: 23 24 25 26 27 28

**SECTION IV – CONDITIONS** 1 2 **5. Required Notices to Us by the Insured** 3 As soon as practical, you shall give written notice to us of any: 4 5 Occurrence, offense, claim or suit likely to involve this policy; and 6 7 Change, cancellation or non-renewal of the "followed policy." 8 19. BAY BRIDGE timely tendered notice for all available coverage for the 9 Claim to NATIONAL FIRE, and has satisfied all terms and conditions of the Policy 10 that apply to it. 11 III. NATIONAL FIRE'S Wrongful Claims-Handling 12 BAY BRIDGE initially tendered notice of the Claim to NATIONAL 20. 13 FIRE on August 31, 2023, in accordance with the Policy's notice provision. 14 21. Thereafter, NATIONAL FIRE provided a computerized notice entitled 15 Acknowledgement providing a claims number, identifying the claims person who 16 would be handling the claim four days after receiving notice of the Claim, stating: 17 "Based on available information, it does not appear that the reported matter(s) will 18 reach our layer of coverage. Accordingly, we will close our file." Notably, 19 NATIONAL FIRE's notice of closing its file did not raise any issue with timely 20 notice under the Policy. 21 NATIONAL FIRE's notice of closing its file was only provided to 22 BAY BRIDGE's insurance broker, and not BAY BRIDGE itself. 23 Despite its previous representation that its file was closed, on or about 23. 24 March 21, 2024, NATIONAL FIRE issued a formal declination of coverage for the 25 Claim, raising allegations of late notice for the first time. 26 Despite receiving notice in compliance with its Policy provisions, 24. 27

28

NATIONAL FIRE has failed and/or refused to acknowledge coverage for any

3

6

9

14 15

16 17

19

18

20 21

22

23 24

25 26

27 28 portion of the Claim, assist with resolution of the Lawsuit by participating in mediation, or make payment related to the Claim.

- 25. NATIONAL FIRE contends that notice of a claim was required to be given during the Policy period, or any extended reporting period, pursuant to the terms of the relevant underlying primary-layer insurance policy.
- 26. However, NATIONAL FIRE's position ignores the provision of its own Policy, which provides that "except as otherwise provided herein or endorsed to this policy, the insurance in this policy will follow the coverages, terms . . . conditions and limitations of the 'followed policy'[.]" (emphasis added).
- 27. Though the underlying "followed policy" contains its own requirement for notice of a claim under that policy, NATIONAL FIRE's Policy contains different provisions requiring notice. Thus, because those provisions differ from the "followed policy," the specific terms of the Policy apply.
- Despite receiving notice and repeated requests to attend, NATIONAL 28. FIRE refused to participate in the mediation of the Lawsuit or contribute to its settlement.
- 29. Because of the risk of excessive liability and to mitigate its damages, BAY BRIDGE resolved the Lawsuit in private mediation for an amount that exceeds the limits of the underlying "followed policy" and any applicable retentions. BAY BRIDGE now looks to NATIONAL FIRE to provide excess coverage pursuant to its duty to "pay . . . those sums in excess of the 'retained limit' that the 'insured' becomes legally obligated to pay as damages."
- 30. By improperly delaying and failing and/or refusing to pay in connection with the Claim, NATIONAL FIRE has caused BAY BRIDGE to be unreasonably exposed to loss, expenses, and liability in connection with the Lawsuit.
- 31. Additionally, NATIONAL FIRE has deceived BAY BRIDGE, caused BAY BRIDGE to suffer resultant economic injury, and otherwise breached its

	l
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	ĺ
24	
25	ĺ
26	ĺ
27	
28	l

obligations to BAY BRIDGE by, among other things, failing to adopt and implement reasonable standards for the prompt investigation and processing of claims arising under the Policy by "closing" its file only four days after receiving notice, then issuing its denial letter months later; and failing to promptly provide a reasonable explanation of the basis relied on in the Policy, in relation to the facts or applicable law, for NATIONAL FIRE's failure or refusal to pay in connection with the Claim.

32. NATIONAL FIRE's improper claims-handling is in material breach of the Policy and the implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing.

# FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION DECLARATORY RELIEF – NOTICE WAS TIMELY

## (AGAINST NATIONAL FIRE)

- 33. BAY BRIDGE realleges and incorporates by reference each and every allegation set forth above in this Complaint.
- 34. The Policy provides that, "except as otherwise provided herein . . . the insurance in [the Policy] will follow the coverages . . . conditions and limitations of the 'followed policy[.]"
- 35. The Policy contains provisions that differ from the "followed policy," including a provision that requires notice of a claim be given when an "[o]ccurrence, offense, claim or suit likely to involve this policy[.]"
- 36. BAY BRIDGE gave notice of the Lawsuit to NATIONAL FIRE on or about August 31, 2023.
- 37. A dispute and actual, justiciable controversy has arisen and now exists between BAY BRIDGE and NATIONAL FIRE concerning their respective rights, obligations, duties, and liabilities under the Policy in connection with the Claim. BAY BRIDGE asserts, and states on information and belief that NATIONAL FIRE disputes, among other things, that notice was not timely provided under the Policy.
  - 38. BAY BRIDGE desires a judicial determination and declaration of the

parties' respective rights, obligation, duties, and liabilities under the Policy in connection with the Claim.

39. Declaratory relief is appropriate and necessary at this time so that the parties may ascertain their respective rights, obligations, duties, and liabilities under the Policy in connection with the Claim.

#### **SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION**

# DECLARATORY RELIEF – DUTY TO PAY FOR COVERED LOSS (AGAINST NATIONAL FIRE)

- 40. BAY BRIDGE realleges and incorporates by reference each and every allegation set forth above in this Complaint.
- 41. A dispute and actual, justiciable controversy has arisen and now exists between BAY BRIDGE and NATIONAL FIRE concerning their respective rights, obligations, duties, and liabilities under the Policy in connection with the Claim. BAY BRIDGE asserts, and states on information and belief that NATIONAL FIRE disputes, among other things, that under the Policy, NATIONAL FIRE is obligated to provide coverage for the Claim by paying for NATIONAL FIRE's portion of the settlement of the Lawsuit available under the Policy.
- 42. BAY BRIDGE desires a judicial determination and declaration of the parties' respective rights, obligation, duties, and liabilities under the Policy in connection with the Claim.
- 43. Declaratory relief is appropriate and necessary at this time so that the parties may ascertain their respective rights, obligations, duties, and liabilities under the Policy in connection with the Claim.

# THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION BREACH OF CONTRACT

### (AGAINST NATIONAL FIRE)

44. BAY BRIDGE realleges and incorporates by reference each and every allegation set forth above in this Complaint.

under which NATIONAL FIRE agreed not to take any action that would deprive BAY BRIDGE of BAY BRIDGE's rights and benefits under the Policy.

- 53. NATIONAL FIRE has breached this implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing by engaging in a course of conduct intentionally designed to deprive BAY BRIDGE of BAY BRIDGE's rights and benefits under the Policy.
- 54. NATIONAL FIRE breached the implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing by, among other things, wrongfully delaying and failing and/or refusing to timely investigate the Claim, delaying and failing and/or refusing to comply with its payment obligations, and asserting unreasonable interpretations of the Policy with the intention of depriving BAY BRIDGE of rights and benefits due to BAY BRIDGE.
- 55. NATIONAL FIRE's breaches of the implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing include, but are not limited to intentionally, wrongfully, and maliciously:
  - a. Delaying and failing or refusing to comply with its payment obligations;
  - b. Knowingly failing to adopt and implement reasonable standards for the adequate investigation and processing of claims arising under the Policy and other insurance policies;
  - Knowingly failing to acknowledge and act reasonably upon communications with respect to claims arising under the Policy, by not communicating coverage determinations to its insured;
  - d. Basing its claims-handling decisions on the desire to avoid its obligations to its insured, BAY BRIDGE;
  - e. Preferring its own interests over the interests of BAY BRIDGE;
  - f. Denying BAY BRIDGE the rights to benefits to which BAY BRIDGE is entitled, with the intention of coercing BAY

As to the third cause of action, general, consequential, and

20820830.3 10
BAY BRIDGE CAPITAL PARTNERS, LLC'S COMPLAINT

compensatory damages according to proof;

Policy;

3.

26

27

28

# Case 2:24-cv-01378-DJC-CKD Document 1 Filed 05/14/24 Page 12 of 13

1	4.	As to the fourth cause of action, attorneys' fees, costs, and		
2	conseque	consequential damages according to proof;		
3	5.	Pre-judgment interest according to proof;		
4	6.	Costs of suit and attorneys' fees according to proof;		
5	7.	Punitive and exemplary damages according to proof; and		
6	8.	Such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper.		
7	DATED.	Mar. 14, 2024 Para at Caller and misser 1		
8	DATED:	May 14, 2024 Respectfully submitted,		
9		HANSON BRIDGETT LLP		
10				
11		By: /s/ Caitlin N. Rabiyan		
12		By: /s/ Caitlin N. Rabiyan LINDA E. KLAMM		
13		MILES C. HOLDEN		
14		CAITLIN N. RABIYAN Attorneys for Plaintiff BAY BRIDGE		
15		CAPITAL PARTNERS, LLC		
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				

1		<u>DEM</u> .	AND FOR JURY TRIAL
2	Pla	intiff BAY BRIDGE C	CAPITAL PARTNERS, LLC hereby demands a jury
3	trial.		
4	DATED:	May 14, 2024	Respectfully submitted,
5			HANSON BRIDGETT LLP
6			
7			
8			By: /s/ Caitlin N. Rabiyan LINDA E. KLAMM
9			MILES C. HOLDEN
10			CAITLIN N. RABIYAN
11			Attorneys for Plaintiff BAY BRIDGE CAPITAL PARTNERS, LLC
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19 20			
20			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			